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Reviewer's report:

Review of the manuscript:
No long-term impact of low-energy wrist fracture on health-related quality of life and global quality of life. A case-control study.

This is an interesting paper describing known phenomenon of adaptive and compensative abilities after distal radius fracture. The author proved that fact from the patients' side.

The question posed by the authors is well defined, used methods are appropriate and well described. The data are sound. The manuscript is well written.

My specific comments are:
1. I suggest to use more precise term distal radius fracture instead of wrist fracture.
2. In my opinion authors should describe, even briefly methods of treatment (immobilization, operative reduction, closed reduction) to give the readers information what proportion of analyzed patients was operated, what was proportion of fractures required closed or open reduction). If it is not possible; the information that the method of treatment was not analyzed should be added to the discussion as a limitation of the study.
3. Authors should give information how many (or %) questionnaires were incomplete. Readers should know proportion of estimated answers in comparison to the answered questions, to assess its impact on the final data. It is not clearly written: are there all SF-36 complete and valid?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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