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Author's response to reviews:

Thank you for the acceptance of our article.

The following changes have been made:

1: In the abstract one sentence has been corrected: "The adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for a positive diagnostic yield through all procedures were 17.0 (8.5-34.0) for endobronchial lesions, and 2.6 (1.3-5.2) for constriction/compression, compared to non-visible lesions; 3.8 (1.3-10.7) for lesions >4 cm, 6.7 (2.1-21.8) for lesions 3-4 cm, and 2.5 (0.8-7.9) for lesions 2-3 cm compared with lesions <= 2 cm."

2: In Table 4 Odds ratio have been changed to Odds rate.

3: In page 3 one sentence has been changed: "The aims of this study were to evaluate the sensitivity of bronchoscopy for detecting malignant disease in clinical practice, identify predictors of a high diagnostic yield, and to evaluate different pairs of sampling techniques."

4: The texts TITLE PAGE and Title have been removed.

5: The authors are presented as a list.

6: Disclosures are only referred in the competing interests section.

7: The unnecessary capitals have been removed.

8: The references are placed before the full stop.

9: Materials and Methods have been renamed Methods.

10: The list of abbreviations is in a single continuous paragraph.

11: The competing interests section has been updated.

12: "et al." has been removed and all authors are included in the references.

13: The tables are in portrait pages, the format in table 3-5 have been changed to Arial 8.

14: The subheadings of the tables have been updated.
Yours Sincerely Kjetil Roth