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Reviewer’s report:

General
 Good paper and well written

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
My only hesitation is that the two tests are not measured in the COPD subjects in a random order. The authors explained why, but I suggest to do a theroph measuremen to get an idea of the exercise capacity and than start with a random order of 6mwt and ict

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.