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Timothy Shipley PhD
In-House Editor
BMC PULMONARY MEDICINE

Dear Dr Shipley

Our Manuscript entitled “CREATING SCENARIOS OF THE IMPACT OF COPD AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO COPD ASSESSMENT TEST (CAT) SCORES” was originally submitted to Respiratory Research, however the editorial board of Respiratory Research felt that our paper would be better suited to BMC Pulmonary Medicine, which is an approach we wholeheartedly agree with. As such please find enclosed our manuscript that we would like to be considered for publication in BMC Pulmonary Medicine.

As you know, the CAT is a short health status questionnaire that correlates extremely well with the SGRQ (Jones et al, ERJ 2009; 34:648). This paper describes a novel method of creating scenarios by which clinicians can conceptualise what CAT scores reflect in terms of the effect of COPD on patients’ daily lives.

Your consideration of our manuscript is very much appreciated and we look forward to your review and response.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,

Paul W. Jones, Ph.D., FRCP.