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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? YES
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? YES
3. Are the data sound? YES
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? YES
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? YES
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? YES
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? YES
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? YES
9. Is the writing acceptable? YES

The authors have satisfactorily answered all reviewer’s previous questions. The introduction of a new on line Appendix, reporting the singing training proceedings, is welcome. The same is true for the new references and the additional paragraph just before the end of discussion.

I believe that the manuscript may be published in the present form, without any additional adjustment.

# Discretionary Revisions:
No comments.

# Minor Essential Revisions:
No comments.

# Major Compulsory Revisions:
No comments.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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