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Reviewer's report:

This paper is much improved and the authors have satisfactorily answered my questions.

One minor essential revision is required.

Para: Results Sample and response, last sentence. The response rate of 42.7% in the telephone survey appears to be incorrect and at odds with the previous sentence.

The authors may also be interested in another method of dealing with differences in telephone and mail responses to self-rated health:


Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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