Reviewer's report

**Title:** A case control study of differences in non-work injury and accidents among sawmill workers in rural compared to urban British Columbia, Canada

**Version:** 2  **Date:** 14 September 2009

**Reviewer:** Mairin boland

**Reviewer's report:**

Authors have addressed main issues. Needs only minor changes now- in abstract reference is made to four outcomes, when in fact fire outcomes were considered (including 'other'). Suggest that consistency needed in abstract, early part of Methods section 3.0) and table 1 in listing and dealing with these five outcome groups- order should remain the same for ease of reading.

Spell out the abbreviation CMA in discussion.
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