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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
The author must respond to these before a decision on publication can be reached. For example, additional necessary experiments or controls, statistical mistakes, errors in interpretation.

1. Methods, 1.Para.
   a) The response rate is a point of interest in a telephone survey. Could you please mention the response rate not only in the discussion, but also in the Methods?

2. Results
   a) It would be very helpful, if you would provide some information regarding the parental sample. E.g. who was answering the questionnaire (percentage of mothers, fathers), mean age of the responders etc.
   b) Table 2: You present the vaccination schedule according to maternal age. The sample size of this two groups differ strongly (241 vs. 69). Don't you think that this discrepancy could have influenced the outcome of your result?

3. Discussion
   a) What do you mean with historical memory of the “older” mothers?

Minor Essential Revisions
The author can be trusted to make these. For example, missing labels on figures, the wrong use of a term, spelling mistakes.

4. Background, 1.Para.
   a) The second sentence is hardly to understand, as it is too long (Rumours…).
   b) The same with the third sentence (Spanish…).

5. Methods, 1.Para.
   a) Second sentence (Children born…) is a too long sentence

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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