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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions

In the method section I still can’t see if snuff users were excluded.

On page 16. about lack of validation. Your text could be misinterpreted for two reasons.

1. Cotinine test is not the only option. You could have used CO.
2. There are reasons to believe that biological validation is more valid than self-reports, though the biological measures have limitations.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.