Response to Comment
BMCPH 1628988141209917, Ross et al (Racial and ethnic differences in personal cervical cancer screening among post-graduate physicians: results from a cross-sectional survey)

Reviewer 1 (Eliane Duarte-Franco)

Specific Comment

1. Comment: I suggest revising the last sentence of second paragraph of the Discussion section to make it clearer – I am not sure I understood it myself because the results of this study, in which there are no significant differences found in the participants vis-à-vis SES and access to care, report differences in screening.

Response: We appreciate the Reviewer’s comment and have edited the text to clarify the point, which is that observed differences in cervical cancer screening between self-identified white women and both African-American and Hispanic/Latina women may be explained by SES and access to care (Discussion, pages 10-11):

“Because we found no differences in cervical cancer screening between self-identified white women and either African-American or Hispanic/Latina women, our study indirectly confirms prior research that demonstrated that adjusting for socioeconomic status and access to care explained observed differences in cervical cancer screening between white women and both African-American and Hispanic/Latina women.[14]”