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Reviewer’s report:

General
I am satisfied with the way the authors responded to my comments.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
I appreciate that the authors did make mention of the issue of delay with respect to the distinction between how symptomatic and asymptomatic women should be handled. However, I would prefer that they would flesh it out a little more to include more of what I said in the first review, as I don't think the reader will be aware of the message I want to convey with just what the authors added.

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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