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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

Enclosed for your consideration is an original research article, entitled "Age differences in mental health literacy". The paper is based on a large epidemiological survey with public health implications, and we believe it would be of interest to your readership. All authors of this research paper have either directly participated in the planning, execution, or analysis of this study and/or the drafting of the manuscript. All authors of this paper have read and approved the final version submitted. The contents of this manuscript have not been copyrighted or published previously, and are not currently under consideration for publication elsewhere.

This version contains some minor corrections to one submitted a couple of weeks ago. These were author proofreading errors picked up in the pdf you provided:

1. "sociodemographic" is spelled inconsistently in the paragraph "Survey interview", the hyphen should be removed.
2. In the heading to the paragraph "Statistical Analysis", "Analysis" should not be capitalised, to maintain consistency with other headings.
3. In the paragraph "Beliefs about the best kind of help" in the results section, the third sentence is missing some results. It should read: "Compared with those aged over 70 years, family and friends were more likely to be endorsed by young adults (18-24 years) (z=3.18, h=.21) and counselling was more likely to be endorsed by young (18-24 years) (z=3.55, h=.22) and middle aged (40-54 years) (z=4.52, h=.20) adults as the best kinds of help for depression."