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Reviewer's report:

General
The paper seems improved. Just a couple minor comments are noted below.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
-Table 3 and Methods- assure that it is clear what the dependent variables are especially for "Respondent's knowledge of the hepatitis B vaccine" -what was the definition for the control (0) and the test (1)?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
-Make paragraph 4 in the Introduction part of Paragraph 3.
-Remove bullets from Introduction.
-Page 5 second to last line-delete first "was".
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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