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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editor

We would like to thank your referees for very constructive criticism of our manuscript, Parents attitudes towards hepatitis B vaccination for their children. A survey comparing paper and web questionnaires, Sweden 2005. We have tried to incorporate most of their comments as follows:

Referee 1,
Major Compulsory Revisions
Background,
1. The objective as been restructured, and now clearly shows the aims of the survey is twofold. Furthermore, a sentence has been added in the objective that our intention also was to compare if knowledge and attitudes varied by a number of background demographic factors (p.5, line 2-3).
2. Table 1, showing the Swedish childhood vaccination schedule has not been removed. We believe that it is of interest for the reader to get a clear overview of the whole vaccination programme.

Methods,
1. The statistical methods used when analysing data from this survey has been elucidated further (p.6, 3rd paragraph line 3).
2. The issue of reimbursement has been added (p.6, line 6).

Results,
1. A subheading “Comparison of paper versus web responses” is added (p.7 line 3)
2. A sentence has been added to clarify that the all responses were combined (p.8, 4th paragraph line 1).
3. Tables 3-5 have been combined into one Table (Table 3).

Minor points
All remarks under the heading Minor Essential Revisions, have been agreed upon and altered, except the one about putting the bullets under the subheading on page 8 into a sentence which has not been changed.

Referee 2,
Major Compulsory Revisions
1. A paragraph about the hypothetical nature of some of the questions is added (p.10, 3rd paragraph).
2. A paragraph on the lack of information about the non-responders has been added (p.10, 2nd paragraph line 8-12).
3. A sentence about the questioning on knowledge of hepatitis B has been added (p.6, line 2-4).

We see that our article has greatly improved from these alterations.

Linköping the 27th of February 2007
Yours sincerely,
Eva Dannetun