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January 18, 2007

Dr. Lolu da-Silva
Assistant Editor, BMC-series Journals

Dear Dr. da-Silva:

As you requested in your email of January 17, we have made changes to our manuscript "Potential Cost Savings with Terrestrial Rabies Control" in response to the reviewer comments. The revised manuscript is attached, and the point-by-point response is as follows:

Reviewer, Dr. Henry Wilde:
No revisions requested.

Reviewer, Dr. Jakob Zinsstag
As recommended, we have added Dr. Zinsstag's points about the cost-benefit of ORV in Europe due to the type of campaign conducted. This has been added to page 5 in the "Animal vaccination" section of the Background.

As recommended, most of the text in pages 7-8 about the details of the rabies reimbursement scheme has been eliminated, with just the broad outlines remaining.

As recommended, figure 2 has been eliminated along with the text in the results section that refers to it, because the correlation coefficients from figure 2 are already included in the text.

Dr. Zinsstag's comment about page 18 and modelling has been added to the lat paragraph of the Discussion section.

As recommended, the paper has been shortened, from 6,395 words excluding abstract, references, etc., to 5,189 words.

We appreciate having had the opportunity to work with you on this publication. We look forward to reviewing the proofs when you have them ready. Because our lead author will be out of the country for the next several weeks, please contact me if you need anything from us during that time period.

Sincerely,

Millicent Eidson, MA, DVM, DACVPM (Epid.)
State Public Health Veterinarian and Director, Zoonoses Program
(p) 518-474-3186 (f) 518-473-6590 (email) mxe04@health.state.ny.us