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Reviewer's report:

General
A subject of importance in the vaccine world and one that is given inadequate attention by decision-makers. The subject was dealt with in a precise way and the fields of questioning were sensibly restricted.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Introduction para 2 line 4: "...have not been not..." error in grammar.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
I was disappointed that only 8 farthers were interviewed. The reasons for this low number would be interesting. Did the researchers, for instance, consider mothers to be more important informats or was it just convenience? A sentence or two on this would be useful.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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