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Dear Senior Assistant Editor Iratxe Puebla:

Thanks a lot for the second comments on my paper (MS: 2434520851296770). I improved my paper as the reviewers advised and submitted the re-revised manuscript. Now, I would like to give a point-by-point response to the comments.

Answer to reviewer Maria Victoria Zunzunegui:

Minor Essential Revisions:

Q1. Figure 1 can be deleted. Percentages are given in the text.  
Answer: I deleted the figure 1.

Q2. The word “correlation” in the presentation of results should not be used to refer to the coefficients of the logistic regression. “Correlations” should be replaced by “associations”.  
Answer: I changed all “correlation(s)” in relative to logistic regression into “association(s)”.  

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published.  
Answer: I took an English check service this time. My paper was checked by a native English-speaking person. And I corrected some mistakes in my paper.

In addition, according to editor’s advice, I changed “conclusion” in Abstract into “conclusions” and removed any underlining/tracking from my manuscript text. Also, I checked my manuscript according to the checklist and made a little change.

These changes are made as advised. After these revisions, my paper was improved so much. If there are more comments, we are looking forward for further improvements. Thanks a lot!

Best wishes,

Sincerely

Wei Sun