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Reviewer's report:

General

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

1. Discuss limitations in combining data from various sources and how this was dealt with in the reported study. Frankly acknowledge what bias may have occurred and how researchers dealt with this.
2. "no ethical approval required" is shown on the title page. The justification for this decision should be included within the text and should specifically address the accepted protections for human subjects in research of this kind.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. In the methodology section, provide specific information and a table regarding wording of key measures across the various studies.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

1. Provide more speculation or interpretation of why GP attitudes seem to be improving.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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