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Reviewer's report:

General

I have reviewed the resubmitted manuscript and I am satisfied with the authors' responses to my original comments. I have noted a few minor changes the authors may wish to consider in their final editing.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

1. There is a typo in the results section of the abstract. It currently reads "...salads (n=50)...."
2. There is a typo in the first line of the Background section of the paper. A superscript 1 follows the reference 1, with no accompanying footnote.
3. You may wish to consider moving the first 2 sentences of the results into the Methods section. It is probably worth defining "delicatessens" as the definition is considerably different around the world.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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