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Reviewer’s report:

General

The revisions made by the authors in response to reviews have increased manuscript clarity and provided better context in which their findings can be assessed. As such, I only have a few very minor revisions to suggest prior to publication (see below).

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. The term "access" should be changed to "assess" in paragraph 5 of the Background and again in paragraph 2 of the Methods

2. Can you provide references for the "published literature" you cite in paragraph 1 of your methods (in relation to development of the food audit survey)?

3. While the offer to provide a copy of the food audit survey to interested readers is helpful, many will rely on information in the published report. As such, can you at least mention the broad topics/areas covered within the survey and the final number of survey items?

4. Can you clarify how you identified all food outlet owners/operators in the region e.g. from council records?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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