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Reviewer's report:

General
Interesting paper

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Authors did not consider CHADS2 score. A comment is needed
Authors' guidelines differ from those of ACCP. Again a comment should be made

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Discussion page 11 line 9: "..rose to 5055%...and up to 50-55%.. Something is wrong
page 13, lines 5-8: please add a comment

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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