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Reviewer’s report:

**General**

I find that the authors have responded in a satisfactory way to the review comments. Thus, the manuscript can now be accepted for publication in my view. It is somewhat long but this is due to the merging of originally two papers which I think was very sound to do. Limitations of the methods applied in estimating AMI incidence are now acknowledged in the manuscript and it is stated that future work will aim to reduce evaluate and hopefully reduce these problems.

------------------------------------------------------------------

**Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)**

------------------------------------------------------------------

**Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)**

------------------------------------------------------------------

**Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)**

------------------------------------------------------------------

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.