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Reviewer's report:

General

To a large degree the authors have addressed the reviewer's comments. However there are still some mistakes in table 2. Line 502 and 506 (table 2) this should be model 1 and not model 2. They have also not adequately addressed the issue of Gender differences. I think the reviewer wanted the analysis reported for Males and Females separately (the authors have enough data to do this). Reporting the interaction only tells us that there is no Gender difference but I think what the reviewer wanted was parameter estimate for both genders reported separately. This is a good point and I have to agree with it. The authors should perform separate analysis for each gender for each of the outcomes listed in table 2 (i.e. “Self-reported sleep duration”, “Self-reported difficulty initiating sleeping” and “Self-reported difficulty maintaining sleeping”).

-----------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
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Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)