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Reviewer's report:

General

This revised version has dealt with most of the issues raised. There are two remaining issues that need further attention.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

1. Discussion: more should be done to integrate the current findings with findings from previous studies. A good start is the last part of the second paragraph in the discussion section. Similar reflections are still missing though with most of the other paragraphs; to what extent do the own findings support or contradict findings from previous studies?

2. Recommendations for future research: the first recommendation needs to be clarified, specifically the "how these attitudes may be changed"-part because the first part of the recommendation does not refer to "attitudes" but only to "influence"; recommendations 4 and 6 can not be classified as "recommendations for future research", but rather as recommendations for communication or promotion; either reformulate the recommendation or reformulate the heading.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Better use the terminology "focus group topic guide" than "focus group questionnaire" (Methods section)

The presentation of verbatim statements is not always consistent (use of capitals on the first word)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.