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Reviewer's report:

General
The authors have attended to reviewer concerns. I have only one remaining question for minor clarification and a suggestion for Tables (see below).

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached) None

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
1. Authors did clarify that only one admission per subject ("unduplicated cases") was included in analysis. Can they clarify if the selected admission was the first within the included time period?
2. If the analysis sample is smaller than the complete sample (e.g. because of missing data), then please give the sample size for multivariate analyses for Table 1 and for each of the two analyses in Table 2 and in Table 3.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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