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Reviewer’s report:

General

THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO RE-VISIT THIS PAPER. THE AUTHORS HAVE RESPONDED IN A CONSTRUCTIVE WAY TO THE VARIOUS COMMENTS, AND THE ADDITION OF THE MINISTER OF HEALTH’S RESPONSE TO THIS STUDY PROVIDES BOTH THE CONTEXT AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE WAY FORWARD. I RECOMMEND PUBLICATION. A MINOR POINT; I NOTE THE AUTHORS NOW PRESENT COST DATA IN US$, BUT IN THE METHODS SECTION STILL (PAGE 4) REFER TO AUSTRALIAN DOLLARS.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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