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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor
Thank you for the opportunity to respond to reviewers' further comments. One reviewer had no further revisions to suggest. Our response to the other reviewer (Hilary Ashton) is as follows:

Minor Essential revisions:
1. Methods Para 7: We have reworded the sentence on opportunity costs for NHS premises as suggested.
2. Results Para 3 and Table 1: We have defined SIMD
3. Discussion Para 7: The reviewer feels that discussion of cost effectiveness is not within the remit of this paper. However, in the previous round, the other reviewer specifically requested some discussion of cost effectiveness, so we have not altered this paragraph.

Discretionary revisions

1. We have corrected typos
2. We have spelt out abbreviations
3. We have now included all website addresses as references, as per the formatting instructions.

We have not acted on the other discretionary revisions, as we felt it was inappropriate to do so.