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Reviewer's report:

General: This paper addressed my previous concerns raised in the first review

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

The maps, while simpler than before, remain difficult to interpret. The scaling contributes to this. I am not sure that the shades reproduced well enough to differentiate between levels of revascularization.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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