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Reviewer's report:

General

I am happy with how the authors have revised the paper, but I would still like to see a couple of changes, which should be rapidly possible.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. The results section of the abstract would be more informative if it referred to the total population size (180,000), and that the costs represented 5 years of the programme, including both set up costs and running costs. Also, it would be useful to add a few words summarising how the other 90% of the costs were met.

2. There is now much more detail about the Hartslag Limburg programme, but it is not explicitly stated how the interventions listed in table 1 came to be agreed upon.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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