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Reviewer's report:

General
The authors have made a lot of revisions and clarified many points.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

I am still not happy that the authors have pooled the data from such disparate sources, with such disparate quality and in the face of criticism from the other referees. I feel that they should just publish the forest plots without the pooling and then leave the reader to assess the forest plot at face value.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes
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