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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Madam/ Sir,

We would like to thank you for taking your time to review our article and providing us critical comments which will assist to the improvement of the overall quality of the paper.

We have revised the article, and accordingly incorporated changes as to your advice in the present revised version. We wish to respond to each reviewer's comments:

Response to Ms Gillespie's report,

Following the reviewer's recommendation, research limitations have been explained at the end of the Discussion section.

Response to Joannes El. Chliaoutakis report,

First and foremost, we would like to apologize for misinterpreting your recommendations regarding data grouping as provided in your first report on our article. We would also like to thank you for your kind patience and help in providing us a thorough explanation of a possible data treatment. Following your advice, we have regrouped the categories and have reevaluated statistical data significance using Fisher's exact test. We hope that these changes will improve the quality of our paper.