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Reviewer's report:

General

The paper is much improved after revision. The paper was more readable and understandable. I think it would be good to acknowledge the input of the English proof-reader and the statistician in the acknowledgments.

The English still jars in places but no longer prevents comprehension.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Most English publications refer to HIV/AIDS rather than AIDS/HIV and this should be corrected throughout the MS.

Under methods, the italicised sentence commencing General knowledge on the city of Eskisehir ... should be deleted and replaced by ‘Setting’

In methods and The questionnaire last sentence replace number by 'proportion' and delete 'suggesting high levels of knowledge'

In results and sample characteristics change 12 to 83 to 11 to 83 (presuming this is correct as stated elsewhere in the text)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

None

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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