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Reviewer's report:

General

The paper is much improved over the initial version. However there are still some concerns - please see below.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

The quality of English is much better and we appreciate the authors taking measures to improve readability. However, some examples remain which need to be corrected for publication. Eg, the use of 'proposals' in the Abstract Background; the phrase 'women/men more disagreed with...' on page 6; the wording of the Conclusion is a bit awkward.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Consider acknowledging the proof reader who has been mentioned as contributing significantly to the paper

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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