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Author's response to reviews: see over
Title & Abstract - Please ensure these are identical in the manuscript and in the submission system.
They are same

Author list - The list of authors in the manuscript should be written exactly as they are in the submission system. The authors (forename, initial (if any), surname) should be written identically and in the order in which they are listed in the submission system.
It is OK

Keywords section - Please remove the keywords section from the manuscript.
It was removed

References - References should be cited in the text using consecutive numbers in square brackets.
References was cited as indicated

Introduction - Please rename this section ‘Background’.
It was renamed as “background”

Acknowledgements - In your response to the reviewers, you said:
Actually, as I mentioned before, as “The English of the paper was re-written by an English teacher, who works in our city, from England in view of grammatical, spelling and general design and also checked by an associate professor from the infectious illnesses department.” that professor only controlled the paper. The professor did not give any contribution to the paper. Thus, I choose to ignore that professor’s name in the section “Acknowledgement”.

We require that you amend your acknowledgements section to include the sentence:
The author thanks Dr (name) and (name) for assistance with the language of this manuscript.
That sentence was amended as indicated