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Reviewer's report:

General
This paper now is much clearer in focus and raises some interesting points to be considered when undertaking research that requires working with an interpreter.

The authors have provided a rationale for the type of analysis undertaken.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
Page 12 - last paragraph prior to Discussion. The first sentence may sit better as the last sentence of the paragraph. Commence with 'We are well aware that....' then conclude with 'In public health we are often interested...'
Then a statement about this particular study. eg In this study. It might just strengthen the argument about the type of analysis undertaken.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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