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1. Is the question posed by the authors new and well defined? YES
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   > 5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? YES
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COMMENT ON THE DOMINANCE OF US STUDIES - DOES THAT AFFECT THE QUALITY OF DATA AND APPLICABILITY TO OTHER COUNTRIES?