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Author's response to reviews:

2. I agree that underreporting of induced abortion is a well known problem. But, we tried to minimize this. Educated nurses (all of them were women) applied the questionnaire to the women face to face interview in a separate room. Not all but some of the difference in the lifetime abortions per women by TDHS may be due to the fact that we have only included ever married women to our study. This study is a part of a very big project named "Southeast Anatolian Public Health Project". So, the sampling method and design of the study was chosen according to "Southeast Anatolian Public Health Project". Thus, we investigated the whole population. The dependant variable of the logistic regression analysis is having at least one induced abortion. The independant variables are number of total pregnancies, usage of a family planning method and employment status of the women.

3. See above

4. See above

5. I have changed the discussion according to the reviewer's recommendations.

6. I have corrected the abstract section as abortion is 4.1 times more prevalent among unemployed women.

7. In our country there is no professional editor. I have edited the study myself again.