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Dear Editor,

Re: MS: 5811022013562497 “Validating the Physical Activity and Leisure Motivation Scale (PALMS)”

Many thanks for your email of 1 August 2014 providing Editor’s comments on our manuscript and inviting us to submit a revision. We have reviewed the above manuscript according to your Editor’s comments.

Editor’s comments:

“Thank you for addressing the revisions so comprehensively. Please amend the aims of your study (abstract and introduction) to clarify that the purpose of correlating the PALMS and the REMM is to assess how well the PALMS functions/captures the same information as the REMM. If this is the aim of your analysis, then it is acceptable to refer to the correlation between the PALMS and REMM as criterion validity.”

- One aim of this study was to assess how well the PALMS captures the same information as the REMM. Thus, based on the Associate Editor’s comment the correlation between PALMS and REMM measures and subscales is described as criterion validity.

- We have added statements to this effect in the following TWO locations:
  - Abstract: Page 2, lines 13-15
  - Introduction: page 9, lines 17

We would like to thank the Associate Editor for taking the time to read our manuscript and provide thoughtful and helpful comments for revision. We believe the revised manuscript represents a significant improvement on the original.

Thank you for your attention.

Yours sincerely,