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**Reviewer's report:**

Findings coming from the assessment of two different rotavirus vaccination programmes are of high interest for those in charge of implementing rotavirus vaccination programme as well as any other new childhood vaccination programme.

The use of a "control" province makes little sense for the purpose of the assessment reported in this article, since the only outcome that has been evaluated was vaccine coverage (that in the control province is anticipated to be zero). I strongly suggest to skip it, since it add only complexity and may generate confusion to the reader (both from introduction and methods section).

A summary box (maybe bullet points only or a simple table) describing the main outcomes of the interviews would be beneficial to the reader.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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