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Comments
1. Abstract: The result shows several variables that affect knowledge and awareness but in the conclusion section only education has been singled out. How do you address the other factors that were significant also?
Answer: Yes, done (Page-2/Line-21 & 22)

2. Abstract: Your result is more like a conclusion and your conclusion is a recommendation. I suggest that you combine the two under one heading - conclusion or conclusion and recommendation
Answer: Yes, rearrange according to your comments (Page-1/Line-21)

3. Abstract: With respect to the above comment, there is need to re-write the results section. You need to indicate key results.
Answer: Yes, re-write according to your comments (Page-1/Line-14)

4. Abstract: The last keyword “logistic model in Bangladesh” should be split to logistic model and Bangladesh because as it is, it means there is a logistic model specifically for Bangladesh
Answer: ok, done (Page-2/Line-7)

5. Introduction: First sentence is not clear and need to be revised
Answer: Ok, revised that sentence (Page-2/Line-8)

6. Introduction: Need to summarize some of the issues i.e. HIV and AIDS among the youth. This is not the main focus here.
Answer: Yes, done according to your comments (Page-2/Line-17 & Page-3/Line-3)

7. Methods: Second paragraph about regression should be summarized to one sentence. The sentence should state the type of regression that was used and also
why it was used. The explanation about regression should be deleted, if one wants to read about it he/she will retrieve the reference quoted and read.
Answer: Ok, Delete regression line and rearrange according to your comments (Page-7/Line-1)

8. Methods: All the preceding paragraphs about regression should be deleted. These have made the author to focus less on methods. The methods section should clearly explain that you used secondary data and should state whether you used all the data from BDHS and also the number and names of divisions involved.
Answer: Ok, delete it and re-write according to your comments (Page-5/Line-6)

9. Methods: Need to explain how knowledge and awareness were measured. The explanation should include a clear distinction between knowledge and awareness.
Answer: Yes, done (Page-6/Line-20)

10. Results: First paragraphs, the names of divisions were not mentioned in the methods section. They need to come first in the methods section.
Answer: Ok, complete (Page-5/Line-6)

11. Results: The regression coefficients are given in the Table and there is no need to repeat them in the text
Answer: Yes, done (Page-9/Line-3)

12. Results: the last paragraph about Correct Classification Rate, there is need to state what CCR was found and interpret it. Most of the explanations in this paragraph are not suitable to be called results.
Answer: Ok, re-write it (Page-10/Line-11)

13. Discussion: First Paragraph, second sentence, it seems the author have forgotten that the issue under discussion is “knowledge and awareness on STDs”. The factors were investigated to check if they significantly affect the level of knowledge and awareness I guess.
Answer: Thanks for your Suggestion. In according to your comments, I rearrange my all discussion section (Page-10/Line-15)
14. Conclusion: The last sentence under methods section it was stated that “the model can be used for the significance prediction about the knowledge and awareness about STDs in Bangladesh”. Now how are we going to predict knowledge and awareness about STD in Bangladesh?
Answer: Yes, I re-write according to your given suggestion (Page-13/Line-5)

15. Grammatical mistakes should be corrected especially the areas with comments in the document.
Answer: Thanks for your comments. At first I check, after that all co-authors check and done by native speaker

Reviewer's report
Title: Knowledge and Awareness about STDs of Women in Bangladesh
Version: 3 Date: 5 March 2014
Reviewer: Md Mizanur Dr. Rahman

Reviewer's report:
1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
Comment: To some extent
Answer: Thanks for your comments

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
Comments: Appropriately describe. Author has been analysed secondary data. Data source should be written clearly that data was taken from NIPORT or Measuredhs.
Answer: Yes, done (Page-5/Line-1-20)

3. Are the data sound? Ok, I think
Answer: Thanks for your comments

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
Comment: Data capturing not clear. How the data was obtained from primary source, is not clear.
Answer: Ok, explain (Page-6/Line-11)
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Comment: Rewrite the discussion based on result and give cross reference appropriately. Authors describe result in discussion.
   Answer: Yes, I rewrite the discussion section based on result (Page-10/Line-16)

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
Comment: Not written. Required
   Answer: Ok, done (Page-12/Line-15)

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
Comment: Rewrite the acknowledgments. Authors do not acknowledge themselves.
   Answer: Ok, I rewrite the acknowledgments (Page-13/Line-last two lines)

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Comment: Acceptable
   Answer: Thanks for your comments

9. Is the writing acceptable?
Comment: Plenty of grammatical error. Need correction. It should be accepted after major correction.
   Answer: Done by native speaker

10. Overall comments
Comment: Poorly written manuscripts
    Answer: Done by native English speaker