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Dear Editor,

SUBMISSION OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT ENTITLED: DOMESTIC WASTE DISPOSAL PRACTICE AND PERCEPTION OF PRIVATE WASTE MANAGEMENT IN ACCRA, GHANA

We acknowledge receipt of the comments from the reviewers and wish to express gratitude to the reviewers for their time and insightful comments.

Both the reviewer and editor recommendation to have the paper copyedited by a native English speaker has been adhered to. The manuscript was sent to Edanz editing company, an accredited BMC editing company for copyediting.

Response to Comments by Reviewer 2: Eugene E Ezebilo

Comments

Version: 2 Date: 20 May 2014 Reviewer: Eugene E Ezebilo Reviewer's report:

1. The authors have succeeded in responding to most of the concerns raised on previous version of the manuscript. However, the authors have not explained how the questions included in the questionnaire and interview was developed. The manuscript still need more language editing.

Response:

Based on the recommendation of the Journal Editors, the paper has been copyedited by a native-English speaker through

2. ABSTRACT
Background:
1st sentence: Delete significant

Response:

The word has been deleted as recommended.

3. METHODS
Ethics statement
Last sentence: Change received the director to received from the director

Response:
The change has been effected as recommended.

4. Study area
4th sentence: Change Municipality to Municipality (Fig 1).

Response:
The word Municipality has been changed to Municipal Area

5. Design

a. Explain briefly how the questions included in the questionnaire and interviews designed? For example, was there focus group discussions, pre-test survey to test the questions? Was previously published papers on the subject consulted?

Response:
We have provided a couple of sentences from lines 138-143 to explain how the questions included in the questionnaire were developed.

b. 2nd sentence: Delete structured

The word structured has been deleted as recommended

Response:

c. 2nd sentence: Change quantitative method involved to qualitative method involved

Response: The Sentence has been rewritten to make it clearer on lines 126-127.

6. RESULTS
what does GHC mean? write in full first before using abbreviation. is the $ in United States Dollar? If yes then change to US$

Response:
GHC has been explained as Ghana Cedi, the national currency of the republic of Ghana and the US$ has also been corrected.

7. STUDY LIMITATIONS
a. 5th sentence: Change whose to who

Response:
The change has been made as recommended.

b. Last sentence: What does FGDs stands for?

FGDs have been rewritten in full.

The authors have done extensive editing of the work. Once again, we are grateful for the comments and suggestions
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