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Author's response to reviews:

Based on your comments, the manuscript has been revised.

Trained interviewers were responsible for collecting the self-administered questionnaires during door-to-door visits, unless the respondents were unable to understand them. (page 2-3)

I agree with reviewer two that the sentence implies that the interviewers administered the questionnaire to those who were able to understand the questionnaires (if that's what the authors mean by 'them'). Please can the authors clarify what they are trying to say,

Response to editor:

The sentences have been revised: “Trained interviewers were responsible for collecting the self-administered questionnaires during door-to-door visits, as long as the respondents were able to understand the questionnaires. For the respondents who were unable to understand the questionnaires, interviewers were responsible for explaining the questions. “

The number of walkers who passed the target area was defined as time. If the same person passed the target area more than once, then additional times were recorded. (page 9)

I think it is problematic to label the number of people as 'time'. Even if they referred to the number of people as 'times', this would make more sense, since it is explained to some extent in the sentences that follow.

Response to editor:

The sentences have been revised: “The number of walkers who passed the target area was defined as times.”