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Author's response to reviews:

1) We have added additional detail in response to RATs criteria
   a. Rational for research method is explicit:

   “We chose to use group interviews reflecting the family approach and experience of the MEND programme.”

   b. Describe the basis on which quotes were chosen

   “and quotes are selected which illustrate or describe the themes in the words of participants, and where they afford insight into the feelings and experiences of participants”

   c. We have added a statement to the effect that we have adhered to RATs guidelines in the Methods section of the manuscript.

   “In preparation of this manuscript we have adhered to RATS guidelines

2) We have moved our ethics statement to the methods section.

3) We have checked manuscript formatting guidelines, and removed unnecessary author address information from the title page.

4) We have removed figures from the end of the manuscript