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Author’s response to reviews: see over
To BMC Editor and the reviewers

Dear reviewers,

Thank you very much for giving the opportunity to respond to the further comments. We have attempted to address the comment for the re-reviews and give a point-by-point response below. We copy the comments below and response using bold font. In our updated manuscript, we highlighted our major changes in red.

Reviewers' Comments to Author/s:

Reviewer: 1 (Marly A Cardoso)

We thank the reviewer for pointing out our mistakes. We response for the points as below:

The authors made major improvements but they are still using "odds ratio" for prevalence ratio on page 7 (statistical analysis). Also, on page 7, they have mentioned 'prevalence rate ratio' for prevalence ratio (using the same abbreviation PR) - are they the same PR? Please, check that out also in Tables.

We thank reviewer for the requirements for clarification. We did forget to change “odds ratio” for prevalence ratio on page 7 (statistical analysis). At the same time we used 'prevalence rate ratio' for prevalence ratio that will lead to misunderstanding in our manuscript.

We had made the correction as taken the advices from the reviewer:

a) On page 7, we changed the term “odds ratio” into “prevalence ratio” and used prevalence ratio (using the abbreviation PR) instead of ‘prevalence rate ratio’.

b) Updated the term used in Table 3, 4 and 5, as all ‘prevalence rate ratios’ were changed into “prevalence ratio”.

As pointed by the reviewer, to avoid the possible misunderstanding raised from the terms we used, we should consistently use the term and abbreviations. We are apologized for the mistake we made.

We are more than happy to make changes and also would like to use the opportunity to thank the hard and careful work done by the reviewer.

Yours sincerely,

Shiyun Hu, on behalf of the co-authors