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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Dr. Anna Peeters

BioMed Central Editorial

The purpose of this communication is to list the changes performed in the manuscript #1093019121103075 in response to requests on the received review. To facilitate monitoring we copied below the comments made by the reviewers and then subsequently described the action taken. We sincerely appreciate the attention to qualifying this manuscript.

We are at your disposal to provide further information.

Sincerely, Juliana Bredemeier

Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions:

Dear authors

Thanks a lot for your cooperation and good answers. In my opinion, you'd better consider the following points:

1- Please describe that your validation study of WHODAS II questionnaire is in process

**Action performed:** The sentences “As no Brazilian Portuguese version of WHODAS-II was available at the time of data collection, we performed a translation / validation process of the questionnaire. The final results are still in process” have been added.

2- Please add the references for the relation between Beck questionnaire and “Satisfaction of Life Scale” with QOL.

**Answer:** References to these relations are found in references 21, 28, and 29.

3- It's a good idea to include "different methods of questionnaire administration" as a limitation of the study.

**Action performed:** The sentence “The use of different methods of administration should also be taken as a study limitation”.

April 5th, 2014.