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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

DISCUSSION: There is no significant comparison with similar studies done elsewhere or in the same region in the past. Authors should compare their findings with other similar studies rather than just giving explanations or adducing reasons for their findings.

Minor Essential Revisions

ABSTRACT:

Line 12 (Under the Result): There should be “and” between hormonal contraceptive and rates of abortion

INTRODUCTION:

Insert comma (,) after Sweden

RESULTS:

Page 6 Line 7 (3rd paragraph): rate of induced abortions for eight Swedish counties… should be written as “differences in the rates of induced abortions for eight Swedish counties…”

Page 6 Line 9 (3rd paragraph): The statement “from the figures, it derives”…. Should reframed as “from the figures, it can be seen that”…..

Page 6 Line 10 (3rd paragraph): rate of induced abortion should be changed to rates of induced abortions...

Page 6 Line 17 (4th paragraph): The word “were” in the phrase “except for the county of Skane were rho” …. Should be corrected as “where”


DISCUSSION

Page 10, Line 8 (1st paragraph): Insert comma (,) after also

Authors’ contributions: Page 11

Last line: the phrase “All authors’ read”….. should be corrected appropriately to “All authors read”
REFERENCES.
Ref no 1, Line 1: the word “Socialdepartementet” should be corrected appropriately perhaps to “social department”
Ref No 3, Line 2: “Acta oBst Gynecol Scand” should be correctly written ( Acta Obstet Gynaecol Scand”
Ref No 5, Line 1: Delete the letter “P” erroneously inserted in the phrase “subsidized P pills”
Ref No 8. Names of authors should come before the title of the article.

PAGE 18.
There is no label on Figure 4. It should be labeled clearly as others.

Discretionary Revisions
Nil

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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