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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions:

1. The title specified a state, (Kwara state), whereas the write up specified a town, (Ilorin). North Central Nigeria was also used synonymously: this does not seem to be appropriate. It is recommended that Ilorin in Kwara State, should be uniformly indicated as site of study, as well as in the title.

2. Hours of the day/night should be properly stated, with hours (hr) clearly indicated all through. Some were okay but others were not.

3. Scientific terminologies should be used all through, not everyday, common terminologies. As an example, "for one reason of another" should be deleted from all the places where this was was written.

4. In some places, it was written that the respondents "said", instead of "indicated".

5. It should be noted that written, signed or thumb printed informed consent is preferable to oral, (for future investigations/researches).

6. Some of the data/tables should be represented with bar charts, pile charts, graphs, etc, to avoid the monotony of too many tables.

7. "Them", "their", etc, should be avoided and deleted during corrections.

8. Grammatical errors should be corrected.

9. Tenses need to be corrected, past-tense should be used all through.

10. In reporting results, instead of writing and starting a sentence with "Of the", it should be written as, "out of the". This occurred several times and should be corrected.

11. Punctuation should be improved.

12. The last sentence under "conclusion" should be labelled, "recommendation". It should not be listed under conclusion.

13. Correction should be made where it was written that chi square indicated the level of significance: this is not right.

14. In many places, it was written that, "p>0.05" or "p<0.05" : where this was referring to t, indicating level of significance or non significance, it should be written (as an example) that, "df= 3, t= 0.85, p>0.05". It should be written like that in all instances. However, note that where there is no significance, the
information should not be included. The same thing should be applied to Chi square.

15. Specify what you mean in all instances, don't write that something "proved otherwise".

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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