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Reviewer’s report:

1. The authors have written a relevant manuscript. The question posed by the authors is well defined.

2. The methods are appropriate but not well described. For example, the use of pan as a form of latrine used is not universally known and so should be described - Minor Essential Revisions.

3. The data is sound.

4. There are repetitions in the description of the survey, household and the respondents. Statistical methods used are not well described. Results are too cumbersome and not well focused. The results should be reported in relation to the different variables in the models used and not as a comparison of the models. The method used by the authors in reporting the logistic regression is confusing. - Major Compulsory Revisions.

5. The discussion is not well articulated in light of the findings from the study. There is a lot from the data that is not well discussed. - Major Compulsory Revisions.

6. The limitations of the study were not addressed. - Minor Essential Revisions.

7. The authors have done well in acknowledging work that is published work related to the study.

8. The title and abstract are adequate.

9. There are typographical errors in the manuscript - Minor Essential Revisions.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published.

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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