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REVIEWER'S REPORT (second revision).

Overall, Authors have done a much better work on the manuscript (ms.). They have revised manuscript to address the points that I raised in my earlier review sufficiently well to allow their manuscript to be published – as deemed appropriate by the editorial board of BMC Public Health. Also, authors should revisit the entire manuscript for any outstanding editorial issues.

Overall, this manuscript addresses contemporarily important issues. Please review the following points (A – C below). A copy of the manuscript with comments is also attached.

(A) Discretionary Revisions

(B) Minor Essential Revisions

1. Is the writing acceptable?
   
   Authors have done a much better work on the manuscript (ms.) regarding this point. There is a better flow of thoughts/arguments/discussions from one part of the paper to the next (in an orderly sequence). However, authors should revisit the entire manuscript for any outstanding editorial issues. (in an orderly sequence).

(C) Major Compulsory Revisions

1. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?

   Authors have done a much better work on the manuscript (ms.) regarding these two points. However, I have provided additional comments on how this section can still be improved, in order to present a more robust background section. Comments have been added to the draft ms.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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