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Reviewer's report:

This article answers a topical issue using sound methodology, and it is correctly written.

My suggested revisions are (Major compulsory revisions):
1. The search needs to be updated. This is a rapidly evolving field, and it is highly likely that some evaluations of KEPs have been published after the search date, and even some KEPs might have been developed during that period. So, the current manuscript has a high risk of publication bias.
2. It is likely that some studies in this topic are not published. The strategy to search for grey literature is not strong enough. At least consultation with experts and review of conference proceedings should be added to the search strategy.
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